Climate Policy Consequences of Value Judgements Inherent to Widely-Used Global Warming Potentials

Sabour Baray Ph.D. Candidate, Atmospheric Chemistry, York University NSERC CREATE IACPES Policy Project

Supervisor: Dr. Robert McLaren

Abstract

For the implementation of the recently ratified Paris Agreement, international parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) have requested guidance on the implementation of common metrics for the accounting of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is the most significant greenhouse gas for radiative forcing, followed by short-lived climate pollutants (SCLPs) methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O). To date, the applied methodologies described by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) are based on the usage of Global Warming Potentials (GWPs) to account for the climate impact of non-CO₂ emissions under a single CO₂eq metric. The usage of GWPs has been the subject of much scrutiny due to their strong dependence on an arbitrary choice of time-horizon, however there exists no consensus on the implementation of any alternative metric. Despite this criticism, GWPs remain widely-used in national greenhouse gas inventories and in research regarding to the carbon intensity of energy, land-use, and transport sector policies. This paper presents a review of GWP implementation, usage and alternative metrics with an emphasis on clarifying the subjective value-judgements inherent to each choice. As case studies research is reproduced in each of energy, urban planning policies showing that seemingly objective conclusions can change substantially depending on the subjective choice of GWPs. We present a case for disaggregating CO₂eq metrics into individual gas emissions for policy synthesis.

1 Introduction

Greenhouse gases (GHGs) are molecules in the atmosphere that absorb and emit thermal infrared radiation due to their molecular vibrations. Solar energy absorbed by the planet is released into space as infrared radiation, thus the presence of molecules that absorb and re-emit the outgoing radiation traps energy within the planetary system (Wang, Yung, Lacis, Mo, & Hansen, 1976). The change in the energy balance of the planet due to these trace gases is described as radiative forcing, in units of Watts per m² Water is the primary GHG in the atmosphere, but is treated as a feedback agent (and not forcing agent) due to its regular condensation and precipitation out of the gas phase in the hydrological cycle. The well-mixed GHGs most significant as radiative forcers are carbon dioxide (CO₂) at 1.9 W/m^2 , methane (CH₄) at 0.5 W/m^2 and nitrous oxide (N₂O) at 0.18 W/m² (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2014). From these mechanisms, the accelerated accumulation of GHGs in the atmosphere due to anthropogenic activities since the industrial revolution is responsible for the increasing temperature of the planet. These processes have been well-known for at least a century. The role of the atmosphere in trapping heat has been discussed as early as the 1820's (Fourier, 1827), with the effect of carbon on warming the planet quantified as early as the 1890's (Arrhenius & Holden, 1897). Describing this phenomenon as the 'greenhouse effect' was first in 1901 (Ekholm, 1901) and popularized by Alexander Graham Bell, who warned of the climate changing consequences of unchecked burning of fossil fuels in 1917 (Grosvenor & Wesson, 1997).

Despite a long history of extensive scientific research, international cooperation and policies addressing the adverse effects of fossil fuel combustion and GHG emissions have continued to lag behind the knowledge. There now exists an additional novel problem whereby elected officials and significant fractions of the general public disregard scientific knowledge and continue to meet attempts at GHG mitigation policy with strong resistance (Dunlap, McCright, & Yarosh, 2016). To some degree, both of these phenomena can be understood in the context of the convenience of fossil fuels as an energy source and systemic economic dependence on their consumption. The communication of climate change science and the economic benefits of climate change policy (for current and future generations) thus become imperative to mobilizing an accelerated international response to an accelerating geophysical challenge. For this reason, discussions of geophysical processes may undergo simplifications in the process of

communicating science to policymakers and mobilizing international cooperation. These simplifications may be a cause of long-term systemic misunderstanding of fundamental processes, which can persist through efforts in the international policymaking framework In this light, currently-applied metrics to account for GHG emissions have received significant scrutiny because of their inherent over-simplifications (Allen et al., 2016; Farquharson et al., 2016; Harmsen et al., 2016; O'Neill, 2003; Shine, Fuglestvedt, Hailemariam, & Stuber, 2005). As such, International parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) have requested guidance on improving the implementation of common metrics for the accounting of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions (UNFCCC, 2015). In order to simplify the quantification of GHG mitigation policies, emissions of GHGs into the atmosphere are often grouped together under a single simplified CO₂ equivalent metric (CO₂eq). Masses of GHGs released are converted to a CO₂eq value using global warming potentials (GWPs) outlined by the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2014). Because CO₂ is the most significant GHG for climate change it is used as the reference point (1 gram $CO_2 = 1 CO_2eq$) with masses released of gases (CH₄, N₂O etc.) converted to a CO₂eq value using a single integer conversion factor. GHGs are each unique molecules with different lifetimes, different physical and chemical cycles (sources and sinks) and thus different degrees of influence over the climate. CH₄ for example has a significantly shorter lifetime than CO₂ (~10 years CH₄ vs ~200 years CO₂) but is significantly more efficient at trapping heat during its existence (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2014). This creates a phenomenon not explicitly captured in aggregated metrics whereby CH₄ emissions have a high impact in the short-term with lessimpact in the long term (Shine, Berntsen, Fuglestvedt, Skeie, & Stuber, 2007). Therefore, there is no single metric that would convert the comprehensive impact and full life cycle of one GHG to another. Aggregated metrics for reduction targets then by nature include entangled subjective value judgements about geophysical and social priorities (Fuglestvedt et al., 2003; Houghton, Jenkins, & Ephraums, 1990). This is analogous to comparing apples to oranges and bananas in order to come up with a total recommended dietary fruit intake. While the single metric is a useful simplified measure, it makes unclear inherent judgements about specific nutritional needs (i.e. the need for bananas to be weighed heavier for those with hypokalemia, and avoided for hyperkalemia is unaddressed). Despite these complexities, the use of GWPs to generate CO₂eq's is the single most commonly applied metric in nearly all GHG accounting and climate policy.

National inventories often group GHG emissions and future reduction targets according to a CO₂eq value. UNFCCC cooperative efforts have historically required parties to ratify reductions according to their carbon intensity in CO₂eq units. The recent Paris Agreements has included a primary goal for the "...*stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system*", and has made explicit mandates to control warming below 2°C (UNFCCC, 2015). Reduction targets however still primarily follow suit according to CO₂eq units.

In this paper, the consequences of widely-used GWP and CO₂eq values are investigated. The physical basis of GWPs and proposed alternative metrics are first described in detail. As a case study policy-relevant research evaluating the carbon intensity of energy sources is reproduced using alternative GWPs, showing that seemingly objective conclusions can change substantially depending on the subjective choice of metric. To conclude this work presents a case for disaggregating GHG emissions and describing policy by gas as a paradoxically simpler approach to climate policy.

2 Scientific Basis of Global Warming Potentials (GWPs)

GWPs are a comparison of the integrated (cumulative) radiative forcing of a pulse emission of a unit mass of a given pollutant to a unit mass of CO₂ (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2014):

$$GWP(x) = \frac{\int_{0}^{TH} a_{x} \cdot [x(t)]dt}{\int_{0}^{TH} a_{CO2} \cdot [CO_{2}(t)]dt}$$
(eq.1)

Where GWP(x) is the global warming potential for a given pollutant x, TH is the time horizon over which cumulative radiative forcing is calculated, and a_x is the radiative efficiencies due to a unit increase in the atmospheric mixing ratio of a given pollutant and [x(t)] is the time-decaying abundance of the pollutant. Non-linearity in the absorptive efficiencies are calculated by estimating scenarios of future mixing ratios. Because the lifetimes and radiative efficiencies of GHG's vary significantly from gas-to-gas, the choice time horizon TH has a significant impact on the resulting GWP. For this reason, the IPCC reports GWP's according to 20, 100 and 500-year time horizons (Table 1).

	20-year GWP	100-year GWP	500-year GWP	Lifetime (years)
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	1	1	1	_a
Methane (CH ₄)	84	28	7.6	12.4
Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	264	265	153	121.0
CFC-11	6,900	4,660	1,620	45.0
CFC-12	10,800	10,200	5,200	100.0

Table 1: IPCC Fifth Assessment Report Global Warming Potentials (GWPs) (Intergovernmental

 Panel on Climate Change, 2014)

^aPrevious IPCC Assessment Reports (AR1-AR3) have listed the CO_2 lifetime at 50-200 (1990) and 5-200 (1995 and 2001), but since the Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 2007) the IPCC does not report a single lifetime for CO_2 and instead a non-linear response function.

Table 1 shows the GWP₂₀, GWP₁₀₀ and GWP₅₀₀ values for the top five well-mixed greenhouse gases from the IPCC 5th assessment report (FAR). These are the reference values used in inventory reports for submission to the UNFCCC and are commonly employed in climate science and policy research papers, with the GWP_{100} value being the default metric in most cases. Interestingly during the initial proposal of using GWP metrics in the 1990's, the 20, 100 and 500-year time horizons were never meant to be authoritative in this way. In the first IPCC assessment report, Houghten described the three time horizons as "Candidates for discussion [that] should not be considered having any special significance" (Houghton et al., 1990). From this one can infer the global reference Table 1 has not necessarily been designed intentionally. Furthermore in the FAR, the IPCC states "There is no scientific argument for selecting 100 years compared with other choices...the choice of time horizon is a value judgement because it depends on the relative weight assigned to the effects at different times" (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2014). So while this inherent subjectivity and the need for conscious value judgements is communicated explicitly, in practical use this does not happen. Because sheer systemic inertia has made the GWP_{100} the defining metric of GHG emissions, value judgements – whether correct or misguided – are made unintentionally. One resulting questionable outcome is the comparison of radiative forcing for CH₄ over 100 years by using a

 GWP_{100} conversion, despite the lifetime of the molecule in the atmosphere being 12.4 years. This means the radiative forcing of CH_4 is being calculated 87.6 years after the molecule has ceased to exist in the atmosphere. Figure 1 shows the nature of these calculations by comparing the absolute global warming potentials (AGWPs), which is the total radiative forcing contributed by a pulse emission up to a particular year, of CH_4 and CO_2 while showing the calculation of the GWP over 0 to 500 years.



Figure 1: Comparison of the Absolute Global Warming Potentials (AGWP) of CH_4 (yellow line) and CO_2 (blue) showing the resulting GWP (black) from the IPCC FAR (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2014).

The CH₄ AGWP curve (yellow) in Figure 1 ceases to be a factor in radiative forcing at ~45 years. This is still longer than the CH₄ lifetime because of thermal inertia retaining some of the energy trapped by CH₄ during its cycle in the atmosphere (Shine et al., 2007). The CO₂ AGWP curve (blue) increases about linearly as it continues to trap heat consistently over 500 years. The

GWP of CH₄ (black) then, depends on the high relative forcing of CH₄/CO₂ before ~45 years, and depends only on the radiative forcing of CO₂ afterwards. As such, the GWP of CH₄ becomes less meaningful for time horizons after 45 years, because the molecule and its effects would simply cease to exist. Despite this however, the GWP₁₀₀ for CH₄ is the most widely-used metric to describe the most important non-CO₂ greenhouse gas. In almost all cases, the justification in national inventories for using the GWP₁₀₀ metric is through a simple reference to the IPCC tables (Table 1), without addressing the value judgements the IPCC says GWP₁₀₀ usage necessitates. The implications for this are far reaching in the perception of relative contributions to climate changing effects. Figure 2 shows comparisons of sector contributions to total GHG emissions according to different GWP time horizons.



Figure 2: Comparison of relative sector contributions to total global GHG emissions according to GWP_{100} (left) and GWP_{20} (right) metrics for the year 2010 (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2014).

As shown in Figure 2, the differences in sector contributions are 8% (Agriculture), -2.8% (Forestry and other Land Use), -7% (Electricity and Heat Production), -1% (Industry), -4.2% (Transport), -0.6% (Buildings) and 6% (Other Energy). Agriculture is most significantly impacted, and can be more than 1.5x the contributing factor depending on choice of metric. Considering the total GHG emissions for the year 2010 were 45,863 megatonnes of CO₂eq, a

difference of 8% corresponds to ~3700 Mt, which is many factors higher than the total GHG emissions of most countries (Canada's GHG emissions in 2010 were 706 Mt CO₂eq), and ~63% of the net emissions from the United States. The data output from GWP₁₀₀ applications are of critical importance for policy development, UNFCCC parties weigh successes based on decreases in the GWP₁₀₀-derived CO₂eq totals listed. Furthermore, the sector contributions in Figure 2 are used for policy-design and further value judgements on where the most strategic mitigation policies can be best implemented. With more heavily-weighted CH₄ emissions through the use of a lower time horizon metric, agricultural emissions of CH₄ (primarily through the enteric fermentation of cattle/livestock) would be a more significant choice for technological development. Thus the root value judgement of which metric to use – and why – cannot be overlooked.

2.1 Alternative Metrics: Global Temperature Potentials (GTPs)

An available alternative to using GWPs is the design of Global Temperature Potentials (GTPs) (Shine et al., 2005). The GTP is a measure of the change in average global temperature due to a pulse emission of a gas at a particular point in time. Therefore, unlike GWPs, the timescale indicated does not have a 'memory effect' of carrying radiative forcing (or lacktherof) from previous years. The time dimension is to directly anticipate results at a specific instant. Figure 3 shows the measure of GTPs for notable GHGs from the original work (Shine et al., 2005).



Figure 3: Global Temperature Change Potentials for a 1 kg pulse emission of CO₂ (solid line), CH₄ (dotted line), CF₄ (broken line) and HFC152a (dashed line) as shown in (Shine et al., 2005).

Consistent with GWP's, the primary determining factor for the impact of a GHG is a) its lifetime and b) its radiative efficiency. For that reason, while the GTP provides a slightly different approach to the time horizon problem, it maintains the same challenge of grouping chemically different molecules into the same basket. Figure 4 shows a recent comparison in Allen et al., 2016 of the GWP₁₀₀ and GTP metrics.



Figure 4: Comparison of the mean global temperature change according to GWP_{100} -derived CO_2 eq pulse emission of given GHGs. Note this is not equivalent to pulse emissions of equal mass.

As seen in Figure 4, equal CO_2 eq masses are not causing the same changes to the global climate. If the GWP₁₀₀ was a reliable metric for aggregating the warming effect of GHGs, the curves would be overlapping. Thus Figure 4 is showing deviations from the CO₂-eq behavior. For a 100-year time horizon, N₂O overlaps closely with CO₂ due to the longer 121-year lifetime of N₂O (thus it would not be chemically removed from the atmosphere for the timeframe of Figure 4). All other molecules show strong deviation from CO_2 up-to ~20 years, with CH_4 showing deviation up-to and after ~38 years. This demonstrates the GWP_{100} metric is reliable for predicting the temperature-changing consequences of CH_4 <u>at</u> ~38 years. This shows underestimation of the temperature-impact of short-lived climate pollutants (SCLPs) like CH_4 , organic and black carbon, and HFCs in the near-term (0-40 years), and overestimation of their importance after (40-100 years). This is especially relevant considering the effects of climate change the Paris Agreements expect to control (peak warming below 2°C) are projected to occur within the next 40 years (Rogelj et al., 2014) (Figure 5).



Figure 5: Projected global mean temperature changes depending on various mitigation factors from (Rogelj et al., 2014).

3 Impact of GWP Metrics in Urban Planning and Energy Policy

In this section the direct consequences of widespread GWP_{100} use are investigated for research directly relevant to policy development. Two case studies are used as examples, **3.1** discusses the assessments of energy-source carbon intensities (using the GWP_{100} metric), in the context of the Alberta Oil Sands and **3.2** discusses policy development for landfill emissions quantification and capture in the province of Ontario.

3.1 Subjective Assessments of GHG Intensity in Oil and Gas Production

The carbon intensity of fossil fuel sources is commonly discussed in one of two ways: 1) Total GHG emissions from a particular facility (Environment Canada, 2015) or 2) Full-lifecycle assessments of GHG intensity (Brandt, 2012; Burnham et al., 2011; Lattanzio, 2015). The former is a measure of the GHG emissions released into the atmosphere at an exact site over a given period of time. The latter is a comprehensive assessment of a) GHG emissions from a site, b) energy consumption and land-use for production, and often c) GHG emissions associated with transport and eventual combustion at the endpoint, i.e. 'wells-to-wheels'. In either case, government oversight and policy is heavily dependent on the results of this work. And yet, in order to aggregate total GHG emissions into a binned value, these studies often subtly depended on the choice of metric in calculations, which is more often than not the default 100-year GWP. Figure 6a shows GHG emissions from select highest emitters in the Canadian GHG reporting program according to the currently used GWP₁₀₀ metric, and Figure 6b shows the result from applying a GWP₂₀ metric.



Figure 6: GHG Emissions from select highest emitters (top 5) to the Canadian GHGRP reprogram. Total emissions (CO₂eq) are calculated using the GWP_{100} default metric (left) and GWP_{20} metric (right).

As shown in Figure 6, there is a significant change for an alternative metric for CH_4 , and little to no change for N₂O (GWP₂₀ and GWP₁₀₀ for N₂O are approximately equal in Table 1). The change is according a factor of 3.36, as a result the impact of CH_4 emissions on total GHG intensity is more than tripled. For relative contributions, CH_4 emissions from Syncrude, Suncor and CNRL go from 11% (Syncrude), 5% (Suncor) and 23% (CNRL) to 30%, 14% and 51% of total emissions respectively. CH_4 emissions from these facilities are >95% fugitive emissions and not a necessary or intentional by-product of oil production (Gordon et al., 2015; Johnson et al., 2016; Siddique, Penner, Klassen, Nesbø, & Foght, 2012; Small, Cho, Hashisho, & Ulrich, 2015; Strausz & Alberta Energy Research Institute, 2003). This is an attractive opportunity for reduction in Oil Sands GHG intensity, which may be neglected to the subjective perception of CH_4 contributions to total emissions because of using the GWP₁₀₀ metric.

3.2 Urban Policy for Landfill CH₄ Emissions

In the province of Ontario there is an active policy to reduce landfill emissions of CH_4 through Ontario Regulation 232/98 in the *Environmental Protection Act* ("Landfill gas capture : a guideline on the regulatory and approval requirements for landfill gas | Ontario.ca," n.d.). This requires landfills above a capacity of 1.5 million m³ to install capture and generation stations.



Figure 7: Map of 32 large landfill sites in Ontario (above a capacity of 1.5 million m³) required to install CH₄ gas capture systems

Interestingly, not shown on the map in Figure 7 is the Keele Valley Landfill (KFL) which was the largest landfill in Canada (now out of use) but continues to capture CH₄ being actively released into the atmosphere similar to other sites. In 2012, the KFL released ~19,000 tonnes of CH₄ (Environment Canada, 2015), and collected a massive ~1,800,000 tonnes of CH₄ (City of Toronto, 2012). This indicates a capture efficiency of ~99%, although the City of Toronto reports an efficiency of 95%, which may be indicative of unaccounted for CH₄ emissions. In general landfill emissions are comparable in size to total CH₄ emissions from natural gas leakages in transmission and distribution (Table 2). In addition to improvements in natural gas infrastructure, landfill emissions are both major sources of CH₄ in provincial inventories and an attractive opportunity for CH₄ capture through more aggressive provincial policy.

GHGRP ID	Reference Year	Facility Name	Cll ₄ (tonnes per year)	CH ₄ (tonnes per hour)	Facility City/District/Municip ality	Facility Province
G10565	2013	Natural Gas Transmission and Distribution	23,882.00	2.73	Chatham	Ontario
G10161	2013	Keele Valley Landfill	18,827.81	2.15	Maple	Ontario
G10343	2013	Ridge Landfill	15,990.00	1.83	Blenheim	Ontario
G10109	2013	Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.	9,578.21	1.09	North York	Ontario
G10376	2013	Britannia Sanitary Landfill Site	7,840.00	0.89	Mississauga	Ontario
G10364	2013	Essex County Landfill No. 3	6,570.61	0.75	Lakeshore	Ontario
G10365	2013	Essex County Regional Landfill	6,019.28	0.69	Essex	Ontario
G10163	2013	TransCanada Pipeline, Ontario	5,515.61	0.63	Kenora	Ontario
G10348	2013	Trail Road Waste Facility	4,587.16	0.52	Ottawa	Ontario
G10337	2013	Walker Environmental Group Inc. Landfill Sites	4,574.16	0.52	Niagara Falls	Ontario
G10762	2013	Twin Creeks Landfill (formerly Warwick Landfill)	4,437.00	0.51	Watford	Ontario
G10310	2013	W12A Landfill	4,220.04	0.48	London	Ontario
G10358	2013	Eastview Landfill	3,293.19	0.38	Guelph	Ontario
G10471	2013	Green Lane Landfill	3,099.84	0.35	St. Thomas	Ontario
G10632	2013	HUMBERSTONE LANDFILL	2,328.33	0.27	Welland	Ontario
G10193	2013	Mohawk Street Landfill	1,986.90	0.23	Brantford	Ontario
G10540	2013	Waterloo Landfill Site	1,961.76	0.22	Waterloo	Ontario
G10032	2013	Brock West Landfill	1,469.30	0.17	Pickering	Ontario

Table 2: Top	o 18 Facilities	Emitting CH ₄ in	Ontario (Environ	ment Canada, 2015))
--------------	-----------------	-----------------------------	------------------	--------------------	---

Emissions of CH₄ from landfills have increased since 1990 from 236 kt per year to 300 kt per year. In the Ontario inventory report using a GWP₁₀₀ metric, this accounts for 3-4% of total GHG emissions in Ontario. Alternatively using a GWP₂₀ metric, this would increase contributions from CH₄ sources to total CO₂eq by a factor of ~3.4. Ontario is planning to implement a capand-trade scheme for all facilities above a threshold of 25,000 tonnes of CO₂eq per year (Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 2016). This is through the *Quantification, Reporting, and Verification of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Regulation* O. Reg. 143/16 made under the *Climate Change Mitigation and Low-carbon Economy Act* in May 2016. Because a CO₂eq factor is being used, this would systemically underrepresent CH₄ emissions from landfills that may not reach that threshold (i.e. anything below ~1000 kt of CH₄). This includes more than half of the currently operating CH₄ emitting landfill sites. In addition, for the landfill sites above the threshold, reductions in CH₄ emissions (and thus total CO₂eq) are more economic by simply expanding infrastructure, demonstrating systemic inequality in the cap-and-trade scheme. This may incentivize more aggressive CH₄ capture systems in landfills in the future through market pressures. In any case, the use of a basket approach to aggregate GHG emissions to a CO₂eq confounds the challenge beyond a direct approach to CH₄ mitigation.

4 Conclusions and Recommendations

This paper was part of an effort to address the requests of international parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) on the implementation of common metrics for the accounting of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions. Communications in the scientific community have questioned the ability for the Paris Agreement to be successful, given there appears to be a contradiction between the indicated GHG emissions reductions (if successful) and the targets to control peak warming by 2°C (Rogelj et al., 2009, 2016; Rogelj, McCollum, O'Neill, & Riahi, 2013; Schellnhuber, Rahmstorf, & Winkelmann, 2016; Victor & Kennel, 2014). Through investigating the scientific and international-policy basis for GHG emissions metric, it has been shown that the widely-used GWP₁₀₀ contains several subjective value judgements. Because the application of GWP_{100} metrics have been widely accepted and applied without full explanation, these value judgements are being made unintentionally. An alternative metric, the GTP, was also evaluated. While the GTP provides a unique perspective on time-horizons through snapshot future changes in temperature, it retains the same problem of attempting to aggregate molecules with vastly different chemistry, cycles and lifetimes into a single basket. It has further been shown that these generalizations, while useful for providing binned targets for international emissions reduction policy, have the potential to suppress key areas of efficient climate change mitigation opportunities, particularly for SLCPs like CH₄. It has been shown in the energy sector that the carbon intensity of Canadian Oil Sands production increases by 26% when using a GWP₂₀ metric due to higher-weighted fugitive CH₄ emissions. An investigation into these fugitive Oil Sands emissions also shows that there is the potential for reduction by 50%, which would also reduce the carbon intensity, an opportunity that has been perhaps overlooked due to the use of the GWP₁₀₀ metric

underestimating the near-term impact of CH_4 emissions. In a similar fashion, it has been shown that landfill biogas (CH_4) capture technology and policy exists in Canada, however execution of this policy and the cap-and-trade policy to begin in 2017 is confounded by the aggregated metric. The use of the GWP₂₀ metric once again increases these CH_4 emissions by a factor of 3.3.

The ultimate aim of the Paris Agreements and climate policy is to limit warming to below 2°C. Model projections have shown that this target will likely be surpassed within the next ~50 years. For this reason, parties to the UNFCCC should consider the implementation of shorter timehorizon GWP metrics in order to better highlight non-CO₂ emissions that can be opportunities for economically and environmentally attractive mitigation policies. Considering that in the last century of atmospheric policy there have been specific reductions for air-quality gases due to their specific chemical behavior, i.e. CFC's for stratospheric ozone depletion, SO₂ and NO₂ for acid rain and smog formation and particulate matter as a public health issue, it should not be difficult to develop policy specific for each GHG. Indeed, the United States and Canada have recently agreed on a *specific* reduction target for CH₄ of 45% from 2012-2025 (Prime Minister of Canada, 2016). The Prime Minister's statement contains explicit reasoning that CH₄ is of higher consequence for temperature changes in the near term and an attractive opportunity for economically feasible and aggressive reduction targets. These are clearly-made value judgements that are communicated to a) policymakers and decision-makers, b) international partners and c) the general public. While binning GHG's for general-basket policy has proven useful, this is an excellent example of the benefit of disaggregating GHG's. This case-by-case approach avoids the unclear value judgements made in metrics altogether for specific, strategic and effective policy.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded through the National Science and Engineering Research Council Collaborative Research and Training Experience (NSERC CREATE) program Integrating Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics from Earth to Space (IACPES). Thanks to Dr. Robert McLaren, Dr. Jochen Rudolph, and Carol Weldon for their organization of the NSERC CREATE IACPES program which provided valuable opportunities for mentorship and professional development.

References

- Allen, M. R., Fuglestvedt, J. S., Shine, K. P., Reisinger, A., Pierrehumbert, R. T., & Forster, P. M. (2016). New use of global warming potentials to compare cumulative and short-lived climate pollutants. *Nature Climate Change*.
- Arrhenius, S., & Holden, E. S. (1897). On the Influence of Carbonic Acid in the Air upon the Temperature of the Earth. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 9(54), 14–24.
- Brandt, A. R. (2012). Variability and uncertainty in life cycle assessment models for greenhouse gas emissions from Canadian oil sands production. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 46(2), 1253–1261.
- Burnham, A., Han, J., Clark, C. E., Wang, M., Dunn, J. B., & Palou-Rivera, I. (2011). Life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions of shale gas, natural gas, coal, and petroleum. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 46(2), 619–627.
- City of Toronto. (2012). Trash to Cash Methane Capture Generates \$3-4 Million Annually. Retrieved December 15, 2016, from http://www.c40.org/case_studies/trash-to-cashmethane-capture-generates-3-4-million-annually
- Dunlap, R. E., McCright, A. M., & Yarosh, J. H. (2016). The political divide on climate change: Partisan polarization widens in the US. *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development*, 58(5), 4–23.
- Ekholm, N. (1901). ON THE VARIATIONS OF THE CLIMATE OF THE GEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL PAST AND THEIR CAUSES. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 27(117), 1–62. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49702711702
- Environment Canada. (2015). National Inventory Report: 1990–2012, Greenhouse Gas Sources and Sinks in Canada. Environment Canada, Greenhouse Gas Division.
- Farquharson, D., Jaramillo, P., Schivley, G., Klima, K., Carlson, D., & Samaras, C. (2016). Beyond Global Warming Potential: A Comparative Application of Climate Impact Metrics for the Life Cycle Assessment of Coal and Natural Gas Based Electricity. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*.
- Fourier, J.-B. J. (1827). Les températures du globe terrestre et des espaces planétaires. *Mémoires de l'Académie Royale Des Sciences de l'Institut de France*, 7.
- Fuglestvedt, J. S., Berntsen, T. K., Godal, O., Sausen, R., Shine, K. P., & Skodvin, T. (2003). Metrics of climate change: assessing radiative forcing and emission indices. *Climatic Change*, 58(3), 267–331.
- Gordon, M., Li, S.-M., Staebler, R., Darlington, A., Hayden, K., O'Brien, J., & Wolde, M. (2015). Determining air pollutant emission rates based on mass balance using airborne measurement data over the Alberta oil sands operations. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8(9), 3745–3765. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-3745-2015
- Grosvenor, E. S., & Wesson, M. (1997). Alexander Graham Bell: the life and times of the man who invented the telephone. New York: Harry Abrams.

- Harmsen, M. J., van den Berg, M., Krey, V., Luderer, G., Marcucci, A., Strefler, J., & Van Vuuren, D. P. (2016). How climate metrics affect global mitigation strategies and costs: a multi-model study. *Climatic Change*, 136(2), 203–216.
- Houghton, J. T., Jenkins, G. J., & Ephraums, J. J. (1990). Climate change: the IPCC scientific assessment. *American Scientist;* (United States), 80(6).
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Ed.). (2014). *Climate Change 2013 The Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9781107415324
- Johnson, M. R., Crosland, B. M., McEwen, J. D., Hager, D. B., Armitage, J. R., Karimi-Golpayegani, M., & Picard, D. J. (2016). Estimating fugitive methane emissions from oil sands mining using extractive core samples. *Atmospheric Environment*, 144, 111–123.
- Landfill gas capture : a guideline on the regulatory and approval requirements for landfill gas | Ontario.ca. (n.d.). Retrieved December 15, 2016, from https://www.ontario.ca/page/landfill-gas-capture-guideline-regulatory-and-approvalrequirements-landfill-gas
- Lattanzio, R. K. (2015). Canadian oil sands: Life-cycle assessments of greenhouse gas emissions. *Current Politics and Economics of the United States, Canada and Mexico*, *17*(1), 157.
- O'Neill, B. C. (2003). Economics, natural science, and the costs of global warming potentials. *Climatic Change*, 58(3), 251–260.
- Ontario Government. (2016). Retrieved December 16, 2016, from https://www.ontario.ca/page/cap-and-trade
- Rogelj, J., den Elzen, M., Höhne, N., Fransen, T., Fekete, H., Winkler, H., ... Meinshausen, M. (2016). Paris Agreement climate proposals need a boost to keep warming well below 2 °C. *Nature*, 534(7609), 631–639.
- Rogelj, J., Hare, B., Nabel, J., Macey, K., Schaeffer, M., Markmann, K., & Meinshausen, M. (2009). Halfway to Copenhagen, no way to 2 C. *Nature Reports Climate Change*, 81–83.
- Rogelj, J., McCollum, D. L., O'Neill, B. C., & Riahi, K. (2013). 2020 emissions levels required to limit warming to below 2 [thinsp][deg] C. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(4), 405–412.
- Rogelj, J., Schaeffer, M., Meinshausen, M., Shindell, D. T., Hare, W., Klimont, Z., ... Schellnhuber, H. J. (2014). Disentangling the effects of CO₂ and short-lived climate forcer mitigation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(46), 16325– 16330. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1415631111
- Schellnhuber, H. J., Rahmstorf, S., & Winkelmann, R. (2016). Why the right climate target was agreed in Paris. *Nature Climate Change*, *6*(7), 649–653.
- Shine, K. P., Berntsen, T. K., Fuglestvedt, J. S., Skeie, R. B., & Stuber, N. (2007). Comparing the climate effect of emissions of short-and long-lived climate agents. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 365(1856), 1903–1914.

- Shine, K. P., Fuglestvedt, J. S., Hailemariam, K., & Stuber, N. (2005). Alternatives to the global warming potential for comparing climate impacts of emissions of greenhouse gases. *Climatic Change*, 68(3), 281–302.
- Siddique, T., Penner, T., Klassen, J., Nesbø, C., & Foght, J. M. (2012). Microbial Communities Involved in Methane Production from Hydrocarbons in Oil Sands Tailings. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 46(17), 9802–9810. https://doi.org/10.1021/es302202c
- Small, C. C., Cho, S., Hashisho, Z., & Ulrich, A. C. (2015). Emissions from oil sands tailings ponds: Review of tailings pond parameters and emission estimates. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *127*, 490–501. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2014.11.020
- Strausz, O. P., & Alberta Energy Research Institute. (2003). *The chemistry of Alberta oil sands, bitumens and heavy oils*. Calgary: Alberta Energy Research Institute.
- Trudeau. (2016). U.S.-Canada Joint Statement on Climate, Energy, and Arctic Leadership | Prime Minister of Canada. Retrieved December 16, 2016, from http://pm.gc.ca/eng/news/2016/03/10/us-canada-joint-statement-climate-energy-andarctic-leadership
- UNFCCC, C. Fc. (2015). Adoption of the Paris Agreement. *Proposal by the President (Draft Decision), United Nations Office, Geneva (Switzerland)*, 32.
- Victor, D. G., & Kennel, C. F. (2014). Climate policy: ditch the 2 C warming goal. *Nature*, 514(7520).
- Wang, W. C., Yung, Y. L., Lacis, A. A., Mo, T. a, & Hansen, J. E. (1976). Greenhouse effects due to man-made perturbations of trace gases. *Science*, 194(4266), 685–690.